PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY



To:

NII. Hiromori c/o NII Patent Firm, 3rd Floor, Shin-Osaka Suehiro Center Bldg., 11-26, Nishinakajima 3-chome Yadogawa-Ku Osaka 5320011 JAPON

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

31.08.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

P36502-P0

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014885

International filing date (day/month/year) 01.10.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

01.10.2003

Applicant

MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Atienza Vivancos, B

Tel. +49 89 2399-7891



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P36502-P0	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/JP2004/014885	International filing date 01.10.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 01.10.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC G07C3/00, G06K19/07, G06F1		IPC		
Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC IND	USTRIAL CO., LTD.			
Authority under Article 35 an	d transmitted to the applica	int according to Article	this International Preliminary Examining e 36.	
2. This REPORT consists of a	otal of 6 sheets, including	this cover sheet.		
3. This report is also accompar				
		to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:		
☐ sheets of the des and/or sheets co Administrative In	ntaining rectifications autho	vings which have bee rized by this Authority	n amended and are the basis of this report (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
☐ sheets which sup beyond the discl Supplemental Bo	osure in the international ap	which this Authority coplication as filed, as i	onsiders contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
coguence listing and	onal Bureau only) a total of for tables related thereto, in sence Listing (see Section 8	computer readable to	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a orm only, as indicated in the Supplemental ive Instructions).	
4. This report contains indicati	ons relating to the following	items:		
☐ Box No. I Basis of the	ne opinion			
☐ Box No. II Priority	·			
	olishment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability	
☐ Box No. IV Lack of ui	nity of invention			
⊠ Box No. V Reasoned applicabil	d statement under Article 35 ity; citations and explanatio	5(2) with regard to not ns supporting such st	velty, inventive step or industrial atement	
	ocuments cited			
☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
☐ Box No. VIII Certain o	bservations on the internation	onal application		
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report	
21.02.2005		31.08.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international		Authorized Officer	wet Patents.	
preliminary examining authority:			of the state of th	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich		Paraf, E		
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49	9 89 2399-	

10/561923 IAPS ROCCIPCTAPTO 22 DEC 2005

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014885

	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
1.	With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it wa filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	which	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language, is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:		
	□ pub □ inte	ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) olication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	With regard to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):			
	Description	n, Pages		
	1-28	as originally filed		
	Claims, Nu	mbers		
	1-22	as originally filed		
	Drawings,	Sheets		
	1/21-21/21	as originally filed		
	☐ a seq	uence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:			
		e description, pages		
		e claims, Nos. e drawings, sheets/figs		
	□ the	e sequence listing (specify): ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	had not be	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below een made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the ental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).		
		ne description, pages		
	☐ th	ne claims, Nos. ne drawings, sheets/figs		
	□ th	ne sequence listing (specify):		
	a	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014885

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-13,15-22

No: Claims

14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims
No: Claims

8-12 1-7,13-22

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-22

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

- 1 The following documents can be referred to in this communication:
- D1: US-A1-2002 174025 (MATHEWSON JAMES M ET AL) 21 November 2002
- D2: WO-A-00 67546 (SIEMENS AG) 9 November 2000 (2000-11-09)
- D3: US-A1-2002 188259 (HICKLE RANDALL S ET AL) 12 December 2002
- D4: WO-A-01 45013 (ONEWARRANTY COM INC) 21 June 2001
- D5: EP-A-1 345 164 (EM MICROELECTRONIC MARIN SA) 17 September 2003
- Independent claim 14.
- 2.1 Document D2 discloses a method for writing informations in an IC tag placed on a printed-circuit-board (PCB) consisting in writing informations (Informationen über die Hersteller der bestücken Bauelemente) about a component in the IC tag (transponder 13) mounted on the PCB at the time when the component is mounted on the PCB. [page 2, lines 18-33; page 5, lines 25-36]
- 2.2. The subject-matter of claim 14 is therefore not new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 3. Independent claims 1 and 15.
- 3.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(4) PCT.
- 3.2 Document D1 discloses a method for identifying an product to be recalled comprising the steps of : [paragraphs 31-32, 37, 42-45]
- -collecting informations stored in an IC-tag (storage unit 80, RFID tag 81) attached to a product via a communication network;
- -checking to collected informations with respect to corresponding informations (appropriate databases) related to the product to be recalled and identifying the product to be recalled based on a checking result and informations stored in the IC-tag.
- 3.3. Applying the method disclosed in document D1 concerning products in general to apparatus as defined in claim 1 would be achieved by the skill person without the exercise of any inventive skill.

- 3.4. The same reasoning applies, *mutatis mutandis*, to independent claim 15 which defines a corresponding subject-matter, and therefore is also considered not inventive.
- Independent claims 16, 18.
- 4.1. The subject-matter of claim 16 is not inventive in the sense of Article 33(4) PCT.
- 4.2. Document D1 discloses a device for identifying a product to be recalled comprising:

[paragraphs 44-45; figures 5 & 6]

- -a collecting unit (communication interface 16) operable to collect informations stored in an IC-tag (storage unit 80, RFID tag 81) attached to a product via a communication network (short range wireless communications 22);
- -a unit (data processor 14) searching appropriate databases, on receiving the identity of products, to determine if any recall messages exist on this products.
- 4.3. Although the document D1 does not disclose the detailled architecture of the data processor 14 being linked to a communication interface and to databases, and having the tasks to compare acquired data with corresponding data previously memorized in some databases, it is obvious to the skill person to implement such a unit with a central processing unit and some memories without the exercise of any inventive skill.
- 4.4 Document D1 further discloses a system (system 400) including a device (shopping cart attachment device 50) having a reading unit (RFID tag reader 56).

The previous reasoning applies therefore to independent claim 18 which is also considered not inventive.

- 5. Dependent claims.
- 5.1. Dependent claims 2-7, 13, 17, 19-22 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of inventive step for the following reasons.
- -the additional feaures of claims 7, 13 are disclosed in document D1.
- -the additional features of claim 3 are disclosed in document D2.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/014885

-claims 2, 4-6: the number and the type of informations on which the checking is based between acquired informations in the IC-tag and informations in databases cannot be recognize as a basis for an inventive step.

-the additional features of claims 19-22 belongs to the general knowledge of the skill person.

5.2. Claims 8-12.

The combination of the features of dependent claims 8-12 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. None of the documents relevant for the subject-matter of the independent claims discloses the use of a firmware and therefore the updating of such a firmware after the identification of the apparatus is neither disclosed.

Re Item VIII.

6. Clarity.

Dependent claim 7 introduces "the display unit", whereas no display unit has been defined in previous claims 1-6 and therefore lacks of clarity (Article 6 PCT).